



E-learning Module Title	Quality Management Systems				
Date of Review	1/10/2018				
Reviewer's Name & Organization	Sofia Papakonstantinou & Lina Tsakalou / CRE.THI.DEV.				

1. Assessment of E-learning by the Reviewer

Mark with X the appropriate column:

Overall Impression/Quality	1- Unsatisfactory	2-Fair	3-Average	4-Good	5-Excellent
Overall impression/Quanty				X	

E-LEARNING PRESENTATION (APPEARANCE)	Υ	N	NA	Comments
The e-learning module developed is complete.	Х			
All aspects were thoroughly and in depth analyzed.	Х			
The amount of material covered is adequate.	Х			
The topics are presented in an attractive way.		Х		See 2. Comments and suggestion of improvement
The module keeps the learner focused and interested.		Х		See 2. Comments and suggestion of improvement
The e-learning objects tested are complete regarding the content.	Х			
The FOODQA and Erasmus logos can be easily identified.	Х			

COURSE STRUCTURE AND CONTENT	Υ	N	NA	Comments
The content was arranged in a clear and logical way, easy	Χ			
to follow.				
The topics were presented in a clear and understandable	Χ			
manner.				
The content adequately explains the concepts it presents.	Х			
The content is effective in accomplishing its goals and	Х			
according to the work package objectives.				
The examples helped gain a clearer understanding of the	Χ			
content.				
The quizzes/tests were relevant and helpful to the	Χ			
learning experience.				
The amount of time it took to complete this module was	Χ			
appropriate for this content.				
The pace at which the e-learning module advanced was	Χ			
satisfactory.				

Page 1 of 3









EEF – E-learning Evaluation Form

What part of the e-learning module did you find most useful and interesting? Why?
All
Which topics were not covered or insufficiently covered in your opinion? What additional material would you like presented in the module?
All topics are covered sufficiently
Are there any sections/parts in the learning module that should be removed in your opinion? Why?
Are there any sections/parts in the learning module that should be removed in your opinion: why:
No

MULTIMEDIA	Υ	N	NA	Comments
The quality of the video used in the module was satisfactory.			Х	
The quality of audio used in the module was satisfactory.			Х	
The quality of the narration used in this module was satisfactory.			Х	
The interface and the graphic elements were pleasing and easy to use.			Х	
The legibility of the text and fonts in this course was satisfactory.			Х	
The audio and video are in sync.			Х	
Subtitles (if any) were correct and in synch with the elearning module video/audio.			Х	

NA: Please mark as "NA" if the question does not concern the specific learning module.







2. Comments and suggestions of improvements: Identify ways to improve this e-learning module.

ppt ISO 9001: Clauses Explanations

- better format and appeazrance: FOODQA logo is too long, must have same font style everywhere, must have the same gap on the right and left everywhere, in some slides fonts are too big with no reason, more formal / professional appearance in general, uniformity in appearance
- Slide 2: Building image not relevant
- Slide 31: Clause 6.2.1. -Slide 36: Clause 7.1.1. Slide 42: Clause 7.1.5.1. Slide 49: Clause 7.5.1. Slide 51: Clause 7.5.3.1. Slide 91: clause 9.2.1. These are first (ISO) paragraphs and should be mentioned that they are introductory or General and that they are not analysed or presented.
- Slide 33: too long letters in the boxes
- if there is the ability to use more graphics or shapes, make the module's feel and look more attractive
- Sections should be added so that it will be easier to follow

ppt: ISO 9001 ed 29 April

- better format and appearance: FOODQA logo is too long, same font style, the same gap on the right and left everywhere, in some slides fonts are too big with no reason, more formal / professional appearance in general, uniformity in appearance
- Slide 2 and 3: not relevant (building image and authors' name)
- Slide 13: better quality of graph
- Must have same font style everywhere, also in the titles (for ex. Slide 58, 59, 61,...)
- Slide 61: Chapters 1-3 as first paragraphs should be mentioned as introductory or General so they are not analysed
- Slide 77: fonts are blurry
- if there is the ability to use more graphics or shapes, make the module's feel and look more attractive.
- Sections should be added so that it will be easier to follow



